



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,926	01/11/2002	Timo Rantalainen	874.0103.U1(US)	9260
29683	7590 04/28/2005		EXAMINER	
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP			DOAN, KIET M	
4 RESEARCH DRIVE SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
oneeron, c	71 00 10 1 02 12		2683	

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			F)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Occur	10/043,926	RANTALAINEN, TIMO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kiet Doan	2683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Dec 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 January 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This office action is response to Amendment filed on 12/29/2004.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Demetrescu et al. (Patent No. 6,647,262) in view of Lee et al. (Pub. No. 2002/0150084 A1).

Consider claims 1 and 15, Demetrescu teaches a method/a wireless communication system for operating a mobile station in cooperation with a network operator (Abstract, Fig.1, Illustrate mobile station No.32 and controller No.30), comprising: upon an occurrence of a RR procedure, including HO and CRS, that affects the mobile station (C2, L10-24). Demetrescu teach the limitation of claim **but fail to teach** determining if a location procedure is ongoing in the mobile station; and if it is, completing the location procedure and reporting measurement results in a message from the mobile station to a target radio network controller.

In an analogous art, Lee et al. teaches "Core network separation structure and signal processing method thereof in mobile communication system". Further, Lee teaches determining if a location procedure is ongoing in the mobile station; and if it is, completing the location procedure and reporting measurement results in a message from the mobile station to a target radio network controller (Page 5, Paragraph 82, Page 6, Paragraphs 83-85).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time that the invention was made that person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Demetrescu and Lee system, such that a mobile station in cooperation with a network that handover/handoff reselect cell and reporting measurement results in a message, to provide means for uninterrupted during handover/handoff.

Consider **claims 2 and 16**, Lee teaches a method wherein the location procedure is executed during a Combined Hard Handover and SRNS Relocation procedure for at least one of a PS or a CS domain, and applies to both intra-SGSN/MSC SRNS relocation and inter-SGSN/MSC and SRNS relocation (Page 1, Paragraph 14).

Consider claims 3 and 17, Lee teaches a method wherein the location procedure is executed during a Combined Cell/URA/GRA Update and SRNS Relocation procedure for a PS domain, and applies to both intra-SGSN SRNS relocation and for inter-SGSN SRNS relocation (Page 1, Paragraph 12).

Consider **claims 4 and 18**, Lee teaches a method further comprising sending LCS parameters from a source RNC/BSC to a target RNC/BSC (Page 5, Paragraph 82, Fig.5).

Consider **claim 5 and 9**, Lee teaches a method wherein the LCS parameters are sent in a transparent manner (Page 5, Paragraphs 81-82).

Consider **claims 6 and 20**, Lee teaches a method wherein for a UTRAN case the LCS parameters are sent in a Source RNC to Target RNC Transparent Container in a Relocation Required message (Page 4, Paragraph 71-72, Page 5, Paragraph 82).

Consider **claims 7 and 21**, Lee teaches a method further comprising sending LCS parameters from a source RNC/BSC to a target RNC/BSC in a Relocation Commit message (Page 5, Paragraph 82, Page 5, Paragraph 87).

Consider **claims 8 and 22**, Lee teaches a method further comprising sending LCS parameters to the target RNC in a Forward SRNS Context message (Page 4, paragraph 73-74, Page 5, Paragraph 75-76).

Consider claims 9-12 and 23-26, Lee teaches a method where the LCS parameters comprise at least one of: a requested location accuracy; a requested location response time; details pertaining to a currently ongoing location process (Page

5, Paragraph 82, Page 5, Paragraphs 83-84); and a GMLC address (Page 1, Paragraph

14, Page 2, Paragraphs 40-47).

Consider claims 13 and 27, Lee teaches a method wherein the message is sent

before sending a UTRAN Mobility Information Confirm message from the mobile station

to the target RNC/BSC (Page 3, Paragraphs 50-51, Page 4, Paragraph 71).

Consider claims 14 and 28, Lee teaches a method wherein the message is sent

after sending a UTRAN Mobility Information Confirm message from the mobile station to

the target RNC/BSC (Page 3, Paragraphs 50-51, Page 4, Paragraph 72).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kiet Doan whose telephone number is 571-272-7863.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kiet doan

Patent Examiner

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600